# SUPREME COURT MINUTES FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 14, 2008 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S044693 (RANDALL PEOPLE v. WALL

CLARK)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to January 26, 2009.

S062562

PEOPLE v. TAYLOR (BRANDON ARNAE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender Alison Pease's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by February 18, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 6, 2009. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 45 additional days is contemplated.

S067519

PEOPLE v. THOMAS (KEITH TYSON)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel David Joseph Macher's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by February 9, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 9, 2009. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated.

S089609

PEOPLE v. DELGADO (ANTHONY GILBERT)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to January 12, 2009.

## S092615

PEOPLE v. DEEN (OMAR RICHARD)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to January 16, 2009.

S099274

PEOPLE v. BROOKS (DONALD LEWIS)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel John L. Staley's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by February 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to January 20, 2009. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated.

**S166350** D049331 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

BRINKER RESTAURANT CORPORATION v. S.C. (HOHNBAUM)

Extension of time granted

On application of real parties in interest and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to January 20, 2009.

S167623

RANDOLPH (STEVE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of the Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file Respondent's Informal Response is extended to December 16, 2008.

S137290

**PEOPLE v. GOVIN (PRAVIN)** 

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Conrad Petermann is hereby appointed to represent appellant Pravin Govin for the direct appeal in the above automatic appeal now pending in this court.

S167197 G039755 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

GONZALEZ (BRAULIO PENUELAS) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Richard Schwartzberg is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S087533

PEOPLE v. POPS (ASWAD) & WILSON (BYRON)

Order filed

Due to clerical error the order issued November 7, 2008, granting an extension of time to file appellant Pop's opening brief was entitled ASWAD POPS and BRYON WILSON, Appellants; the corrected title as of this date is noted above.

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Marilee Marshall's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant Aswad Pops's opening brief by December 3, 2008, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to December 3, 2008. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S166637

#### HAMM ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that RICHARD HAMM, State Bar No. 61401, be suspended from the practice of law for two years, and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of respondent's rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for five years on condition that he be actually suspended for 30 days. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed June 27, 2008, as modified by its order filed on August 8, 2008. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

### S166639

## **GRAHAM ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that ROBERT FRANCIS GRAHAM, State Bar No. 76589, be suspended from the practice of law for 30 days, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for one year. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed July 24, 2008. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order.

(See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and one-half of said costs be paid with membership fees for the years 2010 and 2011. It is further ordered that if respondent fails to pay any installment of disciplinary costs within the time provided herein or as may be modified by the State Bar Court pursuant to section 6086.10, subdivision (c), the remaining balance of the costs is due and payable immediately unless relief has been granted under the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California (Rules Proc. of State Bar, rule 286). The payment of costs is enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S166640

#### GERAURD ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that LISA R. GERAURD, State Bar No. 164111, be suspended from the practice of law for three years and until she provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that she be placed on probation for four years on condition that she be actually suspended for one year. LISA R. GERAURD is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on July 24, 2008. It is also ordered that LISA R. GERAURD take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of her actual suspension, unless she has previously taken and passed said examination within two years prior to the effective date of this order. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) LISA R. GERAURD is further ordered to comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

#### S166642

SILMAN, JR., ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that CRAIG M. SILMAN, JR., State Bar No. 218914, be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years subject to the conditions of probation, including 90 days actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on June 23, 2008. It is also ordered that CRAIG M. SILMAN, JR., take and pass the Multistate

Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that CRAIG M. SILMAN, JR., comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

\*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

#### S166643

#### **NEWMAN ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that MATTHEW T. NEWMAN, State Bar No. 160417, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years on condition that he be actually suspended for 30 days. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed June 24, 2008. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

## S166644

## KANWAR ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that TARIQ A. KANWAR, State Bar No. 224988, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation, including 90 days actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on July 15, 2008. It is also ordered that TARIQ A. KANWAR take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that TARIQ A. KANWAR comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. \*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

## S168237

## ATWOOD ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The voluntary resignation of JAMES R. ATWOOD, State Bar No. 44798, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S168238 BLEDSOE ON

## RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The voluntary resignation of DELORES JOY BLEDSOE, State Bar No. 150957, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S168239 GARTSHORE ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The voluntary resignation of DAVID HENDRIE GARTSHORE, State Bar No. 85591, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S168240 GREENING ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The voluntary resignation of ROBERT GREENING, State Bar No. 66019, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.